

Effective Homogeneity of Some Inhomogeneous Cosmologies*

Fernando Lund†

Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08540

(Received 3 July 1973)

The Hamiltonian methods used to quantize spherically symmetric, dust-filled systems in general relativity are applied to the locally rotationally symmetric inhomogeneous generalizations of Kantowski-Sachs and Bianchi type-I models. As in the case of spherical symmetry, the ∞ degrees of freedom decouple. Moreover, both systems behave as if they were effectively homogeneous since inhomogeneities are "homogeneously propagated."

Dust-filled systems in general relativity have been studied in great detail by Ellis.¹ In particular, he has considered locally rotationally symmetric² space-times, and has found solutions to the field equations which generalize the Kantowski-Sachs and Bianchi type-I cosmological models in that they have inhomogeneous space sections. The purpose of this note is to apply the methods used in quantizing spherically symmetric dust-filled geometries³ to these new inhomogeneous models. As in paper I, the canonical form given by Schutz⁴ to the action principle for a perfect fluid is specialized to pressure-free dust. The specialization to spherical symmetry is now replaced by a restriction to local rotational symmetry. The conclusion will be that, although inhomogeneous, these geometries effectively behave as if they were homogeneous, since they are completely described by a finite number of degrees of freedom.

We are interested in what Ellis¹ calls case IIb. The spatial line element may then be written as⁵

$$dl^2 = X^2(t, x^1)(dx^1)^2 + Y^2(t)[(dx^2)^2 + q^2(x^2)(dx^3)^2], \quad (1)$$

where $q^2(x^2) = \sin^2(k^{1/2}x^2)$ (with k an arbitrary constant) corresponds to the generalized Kantowski-Sachs geometry and $q^2(x^2) = 1$ corresponds to the generalized Bianchi type-I model.

Consider first the generalized Kantowski-Sachs model, with $k=1$ for convenience. The case $k \neq 1$ is straightforward and does not add any new features. With the definition

$$\pi^{ik} = : \text{diag} \left(\frac{1}{2} X^{-1} \pi_X, \frac{1}{4} Y^{-1} \pi_Y, \frac{1}{4} Y^{-1} \pi_Y \sin^2(x^2) \right)$$

the action functional for the system [cf. expression (1) of I] becomes

$$S = 4\pi \int dx^1 dt [p^\phi \dot{\phi} + \pi_X \dot{X} + \pi_Y \dot{Y} - N(\mathcal{H}^0 + \mathcal{G}) - N_1(\mathcal{H}^1 + \mathcal{O}^1)], \quad (2)$$

where the integrations over x^2 and x^3 have been

explicitly carried out, and with

$$\mathcal{H}^0 = X^{-1} Y^{-2} \left(\frac{1}{8} X^2 \pi_X^2 - \frac{1}{4} X Y \pi_X \pi_Y - 2 X^2 Y^2 \right), \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{H}^1 = -X \pi_X', \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{G} = p^\phi [1 - X^{-2}(\phi')^2]^{1/2}, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{O}^1 = X^{-2} p^\phi \phi'. \quad (6)$$

As a consequence of the symmetry, one has $\mathcal{H}^2 + \mathcal{O}^2 = \mathcal{H}^3 + \mathcal{O}^3 = 0$. Here, dot and prime denote differentiation with respect to time and x^1 , respectively.

Following I, we make the coordinate condition $\phi = t$ and solve the constraints $\mathcal{H}^0 + \mathcal{G} = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}^1 + \mathcal{O}^1 = 0$. Since $\phi' = 0$, the second of these implies $\pi_X' = 0$. The first one then reads

$$-p^\phi = \frac{1}{8} Y^{-2} X \pi_X^2 - \frac{1}{4} Y^{-1} \pi_X \pi_Y - 2X, \quad (7)$$

and the action (2) becomes

$$S = 4\pi \int dx^1 dt (\pi_X \dot{X} + \pi_Y \dot{Y} - \mathcal{H}), \quad (8)$$

with $\mathcal{H} = -p^\phi$. This Hamiltonian, like the Hamiltonian for the Tolman-Bondi models,³ is time-independent and does not involve derivatives of the canonical variables. The ∞ degrees of freedom again decouple from one another.

Make now the restriction $0 \leq x^1 \leq 1$ and consider the new variables

$$\bar{X}(t) = \int_0^1 dx^1 X(t, x^1) \quad (9)$$

and

$$\bar{\pi}_Y(t) = \int_0^1 dx^1 \pi_Y(t, x^1). \quad (10)$$

In terms of them, we have now a system with just two degrees of freedom, described by the action

$$S = 4\pi \int dt \left(\bar{\pi}_X \frac{d\bar{X}}{dt} + \bar{\pi}_Y \frac{d\bar{Y}}{dt} - H \right), \quad (11)$$

with $H = \frac{1}{8} Y^{-2} \bar{X} \pi_X^2 - \frac{1}{4} Y^{-1} \bar{\pi}_X \bar{\pi}_Y - 2\bar{X}$, which is the

Hamiltonian for the homogeneous Kantowski-Sachs universe with dust.

Consider now the generalized Bianchi type-I model. In this case we define

$$\pi^{ik} = : \text{diag}(\frac{1}{2} X^{-1} \pi_X, \frac{1}{4} Y^{-1} \pi_Y, \frac{1}{4} Y^{-1} \pi_Y)$$

and we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}^0 = X^{-1} Y^{-2} (\frac{1}{8} X^2 \pi_X^2 - \frac{1}{4} X Y \pi_X \pi_Y) . \quad (12)$$

The expressions for $\mathcal{H}^1$, $\mathcal{G}$, and $\mathcal{P}^1$ are just (4), (5), and (6), respectively. Making again the transformations (9) and (10) one obtains (11), this time with $H = \frac{1}{8} Y^{-2} X \pi_X^2 - \frac{1}{4} Y^{-1} X \pi_X \pi_Y$, which is the Hamiltonian for the homogeneous dust-filled Bianchi type-I model.

It is surprising to find an inhomogeneous system which turns out to have only a finite number of true dynamical degrees of freedom. This is of course not the case in general, and depends crucially on the way in which the space-dependent functions enter the integrand in (8). (In this case, X and π_Y enter linearly.) This behavior can be

understood by noticing that the inhomogeneities carried by $X(t, x^1)$ are, loosely speaking, homogeneously propagated due to the constraint $\pi_X' = 0$. The inhomogeneities of this geometry can be prescribed initially and will be maintained throughout its evolution, so they do not enter the dynamical description of the system. To get a picture of the situation, remember that the homogeneous Kantowski-Sachs universe is a three-cylinder of finite length getting longer and thinner until it collapses to zero volume. The inhomogeneities considered here consist in introducing (for $K=1$) spherically symmetric bumps on this cylinder. These bumps then preserve their shape as the cylinder evolves, thus behaving for all practical purposes as a homogeneous system.

Thanks are due to Professor K. Kuchař for fruitful discussions, advice, and encouragement, and to Dr. C. B. Collins for pointing out the existence of locally rotationally symmetric systems. Appreciation is expressed to Dr. D. W. Sciama for hospitality at Oxford University, where this work was completed.

*Work supported in part by National Science Foundation under Grant No. GP30799X to Princeton University.

†On leave from Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile. Work supported by the Organization of American States.

¹G. F. R. Ellis, J. Math. Phys. 8, 1171 (1967).

²A space-time is called locally rotationally symmetric if at each point p in an open neighborhood U of a point p_0 there exists a nondiscrete subgroup g of the Lorentz group in the tangent space T_p which leaves invariant the curvature tensor and all its covariant derivatives

to the third order (cf. Ref. 1).

³F. Lund, Phys. Rev. D 8, 3253 (1973), hereafter referred to as I.

⁴B. F. Schutz, Jr., Phys. Rev. D 4, 3559 (1971).

⁵It is also possible to consider metrics of the form

$$dl^2 = X^2(t, x^1) (dx^1)^2 + Y^2(t, x^1) [(dx^2)^2 + \sin^2(k^{1/2} x^2) (dx^3)^2].$$

They are, however, trivial generalizations of the Tolman-Bondi models considered in I and do not add anything new to them.