

Letters to the Editor

PUBLICATION of brief reports of important discoveries in physics may be secured by addressing them to this department. The closing date for this department is five weeks prior to the date of issue. No proof will be sent to the authors. The Board of Editors does not hold itself responsible for the opinions expressed by the correspondents. Communications should not exceed 600 words in length.

An Extension of the Theory of Mason and Matthias to the Low Temperature Transitions in BaTiO₃

H. J. WELLARD

H. H. Wills, Physical Laboratory, University of Bristol, England
June 3, 1949

THE notation of the original paper by Mason and Matthias¹ is here followed except that $\beta_x, \beta_y, \beta_z$ are used to denote the Lorentz factors for the x, y, z crystallographic directions, and P_x, P_y, P_z always refer to permanent polarizations.

Mason and Matthias use the Boltzmann principle to derive the expression (Eq. (17) of their paper)

$$P_z = \frac{N\mu \sinh[(E_z + \beta_z P_z/1 - \beta_z \gamma)\mu/kT]}{2 + \cosh[(E_z + \beta_z P_z/1 - \beta_z \gamma)\mu/kT]}$$

leading to the conclusion that for $E_z = 0$ solutions other than $P_z = 0$ can occur only if the function $A_z = [(\beta_z N\mu^2/1 - \beta_z \gamma)1/kT] \geq 3$ giving the "Curie" temperature T_c .

They further derive a similar expression for P_y in the presence of the resulting spontaneous P_z (Eq. (40)) but go on to suggest after replacing the hyperbolic sine by its argument and the hyperbolic cosine by unity (Eq. (71) but replacing E_y by E_z) that no non-zero solutions are possible in the absence of an external field. However, if we consider the more exact Eq. (40) and put $E_y = 0$ we obtain

$$P_y = \frac{N\mu \sinh[(\beta_y P_y/1 - \beta_y \gamma)\mu/kT]}{1 + \cosh[(\beta_z P_z/1 - \beta_z \gamma)\mu/kT] + \cosh[(\beta_y P_y/1 - \beta_y \gamma)\mu/kT]} \quad (1)$$

We may write

$$A_y = \left[\left(\frac{\beta_y N\mu^2}{1 - \beta_y \gamma} \right) \frac{1}{kT} \right], \quad A_z = \left[\left(\frac{\beta_z N\mu^2}{1 - \beta_z \gamma} \right) \frac{1}{kT} \right] \text{ as above.}$$

$$\therefore \frac{P_y}{N\mu} = \frac{\sinh(A_y P_y/N\mu)}{1 + \cosh(A_z P_z/N\mu) + \cosh(A_y P_y/N\mu)} \sim \frac{\sinh(A_y P_y/N\mu)}{3 \cdot 6 + \cosh(A_y P_y/N\mu)},$$

since

$$\cosh(A_z P_z/N\mu) \simeq 2 \cdot 6. \quad (2)$$

Hence solutions for $P_y \neq 0$ can occur if $A_y \geq 4$.

If we assume that $\beta_y = 0.123$, we find that the critical temperature for the occurrence of a further spontaneous polarization P_y when P_z already exists is $T_c \simeq 275^\circ\text{K}$.

We shall here assume that the system takes on the form given by the symmetrical solution $P_y = P_z$ and $A_y = A_z \geq 4$ as this is in accord with recent x-ray determinations of the symmetry of single crystals.² This implies an initial drop in P_z to about $\frac{3}{4}$ of its former value in order that Eq. (2) may remain unchanged. We have further

$$P_z = \frac{N\mu \sinh[(\beta_z P_z/1 - \beta_z \gamma)\mu/kT]}{\cosh[(\beta_z P_z/1 - \beta_z \gamma)\mu/kT] + \cosh[(\beta_y P_y/1 - \beta_y \gamma)\mu/kT] + \cosh[(\beta_z P_z/1 - \beta_z \gamma)\mu/kT]}.$$

Assuming that the mean value of β varies in accordance with Mason and Matthias, Fig. 11, that $A_y = A_z = 4.1$ and that $P_y = P_z$ remains $\frac{3}{4}$ of the room temperature value we obtain

$$\frac{P_z}{N\mu} = \frac{\sinh(A_z P_z/N\mu)}{6 \cdot 4 + \cosh(A_z P_z/N\mu)}, \quad \text{where } A_z = [(\beta_z N\mu^2/1 - \beta_z \gamma)1/kT].$$

Hence there are solutions for $P_z \neq 0$ if $A_z \geq 4.8$, so that $T_c \simeq 180^\circ\text{K}$ giving the critical temperature for occurrence of P_z . However, if we determine the critical temperature for three equal mutually perpendicular polarizations from the equation

$$P_z = \frac{N\mu \sinh[(\beta_z P_z/1 - \beta_z \gamma)\mu/kT]}{3 \cosh[(\beta_z P_z/1 - \beta_z \gamma)\mu/kT]},$$

we find $T_c' \simeq 150^\circ\text{K}$ using the same β -curve. This determines the temperature of break up of the rhombohedral form when heated from lower temperatures and would be expected to be greater than that calculated for the same transition on cooling and it therefore seems likely that the average value of β falls off slightly less rapidly as the temperature is lowered than Mason and Matthias indicate. A value of $\beta \simeq 0.11$ at 180°K gives agreement between the two values of $T_c \simeq (180^\circ\text{K})$.

The above solutions are the symmetrical ones and would presumably be the stable ones for an infinite single domain crystal but in any real crystal twinning and boundary effects will result in slight variations of the local field in different domains and even within a domain and this may well result in stability of slightly asymmetric solutions (i.e., $P_y = P_z + \delta P$, etc.) which would account for the diffuseness observed in x-ray reflections at low temperatures, particularly from polycrystalline specimens. This is confirmed by very accurate parameter measurements on "single" crystals in the region $+18^\circ\text{C}$ – 40°C by the present author where it is found that the variations in spacing are essentially confined to the y - z plane of the above notation. These measurements will be published in detail subsequently.

It may be noted here that the theory depends essentially only on the existence of a dipole array having the assumed symmetry properties, the question as to whether this is the result of a titanium or oxygen ion shift or other charge displacement (e.g., covalent bonds) being irrelevant. The exact treatment when two or more polarizations occur together requires the solution of simultaneous equations; nevertheless the above simplified theory gives very satisfactory agreement with experiment.

¹ W. P. Mason and B. T. Matthias, Phys. Rev. **74**, 1622 (1948).

² Kay, Voudsen, and Wellard, Nature **163**, 636 (1949).

A Note on Plasma Oscillation

J. R. PIERCE

Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey
July 1, 1949

IT is interesting to note that some of the plasma oscillation effects recently described in papers by Bohm and Gross^{1,2} have been observed in vacuum tubes and were explained by a similar analysis.³ Particularly, oscillations sometimes occur in the electron beams of traveling-wave tubes because of the presence of positive ions. The oscillations have discrete frequencies in the range of the estimated plasma frequencies of the ion clouds.

These oscillations were described in terms of an equation, (16) of reference 3, which is the one dimensional form of (7) of reference 1. An expansion similar to that of (10) of reference 1 was used in connection with the velocity distribution. While the effect of ion velocity on the rate of increase of the wave was considered, collisions were not taken into account in the analysis.

A case of a finite beam filling a tube and confined by a magnetic field was also analyzed. In such a case, the electron beam can excite not only plasma oscillations of the ions, but oscillations of ions in the magnetic field as well. These oscillations would usually have a lower frequency than plasma oscillations, and they were suggested as an explanation for lower frequency fluctuations which had been observed.

¹ D. Bohm and E. P. Gross, Phys. Rev. **75**, 1851 (1949).

² D. Bohm and E. P. Gross, Phys. Rev. **75**, 1864 (1949).

³ J. R. Pierce, J. App. Phys. **19**, 231 (1948).