

gravitational field, that is, a field whose metric is of the form

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \quad (\mu, \nu = 1, \dots, 4), \quad (3)$$

with $\partial g_{\mu\nu}/\partial x^4 = 0$.

The energy momentum tensor is, as before, given by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= 'T^{\mu\nu} + ''T^{\mu\nu}, \\ 'T^{\mu\nu} &= -g^{\mu\nu} p_0, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4)$$

where

$$''T^{\mu\nu} = \begin{cases} (\rho_{00} + p_0)(dx^4/ds)^2 = (\rho_{00} + p_0)/g_{44}, & (\mu = \nu = 4) \\ = 0 & (\text{all other components}), \end{cases}$$

since $dx^i/ds = 0$; note that now $g^{44} \neq 1/g_{44}$. The equation expressing the vanishing of the covariant derivative of T_μ^ν may be written

$$T^\nu_{i;\nu} = 'T^\nu_{i;\nu} + ''T^\nu_{i;\nu} - \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial x^i} + \frac{1}{2} ''T^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^i} - \frac{1}{(-g)^{1/2}} \frac{\partial [(-g)^{1/2} T^\nu_i]}{\partial x^i} = 0. \quad (5)$$

It follows immediately from (4) that the last term of (5) vanishes, while the second term gives $[(\rho_{00} + p_0)/2g_{44}][\partial g_{44}/\partial x^i]$, so that (5) reduces to

$$\frac{\partial p_0}{\partial x^i} + \frac{1}{2} (\rho_{00} + p_0) \frac{\partial \log g_{44}}{\partial x^i} = 0. \quad (6)$$

But (6) is identical with Eq. (26) in *TE*; so that the relation (2) follows as before.

¹ R. C. Tolman and P. Ehrenfest, *Phys. Rev.* **36**, 1791 (1930). This will be referred to as 'TE'.

Apparent Straggling of $^{16}\text{S}^{35}$ Beta-Particles in Glass*

MARY JANE A. LINKER

North Carolina State College of Engineering, Raleigh,
North Carolina

AND

F. T. ROGERS, JR.,

U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station, Inyokern, California

May 26, 1949

WHEN a beam of particles having kinetic energies in unit range of E , enters a solid normally to its surface, the particles suffer scattering and collisional losses of energy at fluctuating rates while traversing the solid; consequently the projected (on the normal direction) ranges of the particles, r , are more or less dispersed about some mean value R in accord with a suitable statistical frequency distribution. For alpha-particles in air, for example, scattering does not appreciably affect the distribution function and it has the Gaussian form¹

$$W = \exp - [(r - R)/\rho]^2 / \int_0^\infty \exp - [(r - R)/\rho]^2, \quad (1)$$

where ρ is the coefficient of straggling. The object of the present investigation is to find out whether Eq. (1) can apply to the passage of beta-particles through a thin slab of glass; in this case scattering is large, so that ρ might be expected to be far greater than for alpha-particles. Equation (1) leads to a coefficient of transmission given by

$$\tau(E) = \int_{(t-R)/\rho}^\infty e^{-x^2} dx / \int_{-R/\rho}^\infty e^{-x^2} dx, \quad (2)$$

for a slab of thickness t ; and for ρ comparable to or greater than R ,

$$\rho \approx (t - kE^2)/\text{erfi}^{-1}(1 - 2\tau). \quad (3)$$

In getting Eq. (3), R was replaced by kE^2 according to the energy-range relation; k is a constant.

To obtain data for use in Eq. (3), the beta-particle spectrum from the decay of $^{16}\text{S}^{35}$ was measured, using a glass Geiger-Müller counter with a nominal window thickness of 0.001 cm and super-

TABLE I. Data on straggling of beta-particles.

E (kev)	$O(E)$ (arbitrary units)	$I(E)$	$\tau(E)$	ρ (cm)
35	12	132	0.09	5.1×10^{-4}
40	25	133	0.19	5.2
42.5	31	133	0.24	4.6
45	43	132	0.33	4.5
47.5	66	132	0.50	—
50	75	130	0.57	4.8
52.5	93	128	0.73	4.0
55	114	126	0.91	3.1
57.5	123	123	1.00	4.1
60	120	121	0.99	3.2
62.5	113	118	0.97	5.0×10^{-4}

ficial mass of 2.5 mg/cm². This radioactive material was the separated, reactor-made isotope, and was obtained from the Isotope Division of the AEC's Oak Ridge Operations, at Oak Ridge, Tennessee. Spectra from several active sources, prepared² under the guidance of Dr. Arthur Roe, were measured with an electrostatic analyzer designed (after Backus)³ by Mr. S. J. Bame. Column 2 of Table I shows the energy distribution in this spectrum as observed through the 0.001 cm of glass; because of the weakness of sources used, these entries may be uncertain by several percent. Column 3 presents the true spectrum as computed from the theory of Fermi⁴ according to the experimental studies of Cook, Langer, and Price,⁵ and of Albert and Wu.⁶ Column 4 lists the effective transmission at the respective energies.

From a curve of τ vs. E , E was found to be 48.5 kev for $\tau = 50$ percent; hence from the energy-range relation, $0.001 \text{ cm} = k(48.5 \text{ kev})^2$, k was found to be $4.255 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm/kev}^2$. This enabled the computation of ρ from the data, by Eq. (3); the results are shown in column 5 of Table I. The test of the applicability of Eq. (1) in this case, is in the values of ρ . Although there is evidence of a trend in the ρ -values, it does not seem significant in view of the appreciable uncertainties in $O(E)$; therefore this study indicates that $\rho = 4.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}$, with an A.D. of $0.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}$. The sensible constancy of ρ -values as here obtained, is rather surprising when the complexity of the process of apparent straggling is considered; but it does indicate that the simple Eq. (1) can describe the phenomenon, at least for energies barely appropriate to penetration.

* The experimental work here reported was carried out in the research laboratories of The University of North Carolina in Chapel Hill, North Carolina, during the academic years 1947-1948 and 1948-1949. The authors are particularly indebted to Messrs. N. Di Costanzo and R. Morris for their excellent shop-work in construction of the electrostatic analyzer.

¹ E. Rutherford, J. Chadwick, and C. D. Ellis, *Radiations from Radioactive Substances* (Cambridge University Press, London, 1930), pp. 112 *et seq.*

² The preparation of active sources was carried out in the new laboratory for radio-biological research at The University of North Carolina. The Division of Biology and Medicine of the AEC provided, through the ONR, a portion of the funds for this laboratory.

³ J. Backus, *Phys. Rev.* **68**, 59 (1945).

⁴ E. J. Konopinski, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **15**, 209 (1943).

⁵ Cook, Langer, and Price, Jr., *Phys. Rev.* **73**, 1395 (1948).

⁶ R. D. Albert and C. S. Wu, *Phys. Rev.* **74**, 847 (1948).

Energy Release in Beryllium and Lithium Reactions with Protons

A. V. TOLLESTRUP, W. A. FOWLER, AND C. C. LAURITSEN
Kellogg Radiation Laboratory, California Institute of Technology,
Pasadena, California

June 9, 1949

MEASUREMENTS have been made on the energy released in the reactions:

