

Letters to the Editor

P*PROMPT publication of brief reports of important discoveries in physics may be secured by addressing them to this department. The closing date for this department is the third of the month. Because of the late closing date for the section no proof can be shown to authors. The Board of Editors does not hold itself responsible for the opinions expressed by the correspondents. Communications should not in general exceed 600 words in length.*

Atomic Energy from U²³⁸

LOUIS A. TURNER

Palmer Physical Laboratory, Princeton University,
Princeton, New Jersey

May 29, 1940*

THE recent experiments of Nier, Booth, Dunning, and Grosse¹ and of Kingdon, Pollock, Booth, and Dunning² have confirmed Bohr's prediction³ that the nuclear fission produced in U by thermal neutrons is to be attributed practically entirely to the rarer isotope of mass 235. This is present in an amount only 1/139 as great as that of the 238 isotope, so it is natural to conclude that only 1/140 of any quantity of U can be considered as a possible source of atomic energy if slow neutrons are to be used. It seems likely, however, that this is wrong, that the energy of a large part of the U²³⁸ nuclei can also possibly be made to undergo fission by slow neutrons in an indirect way. This is of obvious importance for practical utilization of the atomic energy of U.

The indirect use of U²³⁸ can come about through the fission either of the U²³⁹ nuclei formed by the capture of neutrons, or of the nuclei descending from U²³⁹. In a forthcoming paper⁴ it is shown that ⁹³EkaRe²³⁹ and ⁹⁴EkaOs²³⁹, the probable long-lived descendants from U²³⁹, would be expected to undergo fission upon capture of thermal neutrons. A similar argument leads to the conclusion that U²³⁹ itself would probably also give fission with thermal neutrons. For such fission to be of consequence it is necessary that nearly one U²³⁹ atom be produced for every U²³⁵ atom lost. That this condition can be satisfied may be seen as follows. Consider N secondary neutrons. A number Np will suffer resonance capture by U²³⁸ to give U²³⁹, p being the probability for such capture. The remaining $N(1-p)$ neutrons will become thermal. Of these, $N(1-p)w$ will produce fission of U²³⁵ nuclei and $N(1-p)(1-w)$ will be captured by U²³⁸ nuclei, w being the probability that a thermal neutron will produce fission. It is assumed that no other capture processes are important for the thermal neutrons, that a non-capturing method of slowing the neutrons needed for developing the divergent chain reaction has been found. The total number of U²³⁹ nuclei formed is thus $Np + N(1-p)(1-w)$ and the number of U²³⁵ nuclei lost is $N(1-p)w$. The condition that every U²³⁵ nucleus be replaced by a U²³⁹ or descendant nucleus becomes $Np + N(1-p)(1-w) > N(1-p)w$ which reduces to $1/(1-p) > 2w$. Since $w < 1$ this condition can be met if

$p \sim 0.5$ as it was in the experiments of Halban, Joliot, Kowarski, and Perrin.⁵ Presumably it can be made so with other methods of slowing down the neutrons. Some concentration of the U²³⁵ isotope may be necessary if p is to be ~ 0.5 . Since the number of neutrons evolved per fission⁶ is ~ 3 the divergent chain can develop if more than one on the average gives fission and the present condition be satisfied if more than one gives U²³⁹.

It seems likely, however, that of the three nuclei ⁹²U²³⁹, ⁹³EkaRe²³⁹, and ⁹⁴EkaOs²³⁹ only the last might be expected to have a large cross section for fission. The excess energy in the ⁹²U²⁴⁰ and ⁹³EkaRe²⁴⁰ nuclei after capture of neutrons by ⁹²U²³⁹ and ⁹³EkaRe²³⁹, respectively, would be small compared to what it is in the ⁹²U²³⁶ nuclei coming from ⁹²U²³⁵. The cross sections for fission would thus be correspondingly small. In ⁹⁴EkaOs²⁴⁰, on the other hand, the excess energy would be even larger than in ⁹²U²³⁶ and a large cross section for fission would be expected. It might thus be necessary to allow U from which the ⁹²U²³⁵ had been used up to lie idle for several times the as yet unknown half-life of ⁹³EkaRe²³⁹ until a sufficient quantity of ⁹⁴EkaOs²³⁹ had developed in it, after which it could again be used. This could be repeated until all of the ⁹²U²³⁸ had been converted.

* This letter was received for publication on the date indicated but was voluntarily withheld from publication until the end of the war.

¹ Nier, Booth, Dunning, and Grosse, Phys. Rev. **57**, 748 (1940).

² Kingdon, Pollock, Booth, and Dunning, Phys. Rev. **57**, 749 (1940).

³ N. Bohr and J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. **56**, 426 (1940).

⁴ L. A. Turner, Phys. Rev. **57**, 951 (1940).

⁵ Halban, Joliot, Kowarski, and Perrin, J. de phys. et rad. **10**, 428 (1939). See also L. A. Turner, Phys. Rev. **57**, 334 (1940).

Radioactive Element 94 from Deuterons on Uranium

G. T. SEABORG, E. M. McMILLAN, J. W. KENNEDY,
AND A. C. WAHL

Department of Chemistry, Radiation Laboratory, Department of Physics,
University of California, Berkeley, California

January 28, 1941*

WE are writing to report some results obtained in the bombardment of uranium with deuterons in the 60-inch cyclotron.

The uranium was bombarded in the form of U₃O₈ and the deuterons had to pass through a 2-mil thickness of aluminum foil before hitting the uranium target. The carefully purified element 93 fraction contained a beta-activity whose aluminum absorption curve (taken on an ionization chamber connected to an FP-54 tube and also on a Lauritsen electroscope) was distinctly different from the absorption curve of a sample of the 2.3-day ⁹³Th²³⁹ (formed from uranium plus neutrons) taken under identical conditions. The upper energy limit of the beta-particles from this new 93 activity is about 1 Mev, compared with about 0.5 Mev for ⁹³Th²³⁹. The ratio of gamma-ray to beta-particle ionization is about five times larger than for ⁹³Th²³⁹. The initial part of the absorption curve of this 93 from uranium plus deuterons is very similar to the initial part of the absorption curve of ⁹³Th²³⁹. Of course the production of ⁹³Th²³⁹ is expected in the deuteron bombardment of ura-